

APEC Seminar on Strengthening Public-Private Partnership to Reduce Food Losses in the Supply Chain of Fruits and Vegetables

Beijing, People's Republic of China, September 15, 2014

Public-Private Partnership in the Supply Chain of Vegetables and Fruits-

Chinese Taipei's APEC Multi-Year Project

Ching-Cheng Chang

Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica

Chinese Taipei

(APEC/ PPFS & ATCWG Multi-Year Project M SCE 02 2013A)

Outline

- Challenges for Asia Pacific
- Causes: Loss Assessment
- Solutions: Case Study
- Way Forward: Public-Private Partnership

Challenges for Asia-Pacific (1)

1. Reducing food losses and waste is <u>an</u> <u>urgent issue</u>

- About one-third of edible parts of food produced for human consumption, which is about 1.3 billion tons per year, gets lost or wasted (Gustavasson, et al., 2011)
- Developing economies in APEC accounted for most of the postharvest losses, with higher losses in the Southeast Asia than the other areas (Hredzak and Le,



Challenges for Asia-Pacific (2)

2. Food loss represents a financial cost to supply chain as well as a loss of our environment (land, water, energy, ...)



Challenges for Asia-Pacific (3)

- 3. Substantial reductions in food loss require a mixture of public and private-sector approaches
 - Loss Estimation: Definition, Quantify the "quality" aspect, Under or over-estimate?
 - Concrete actions: Technical issue, Sanitary issue, Cost issue, Changes in consumption pattern, Ageing society issue.

Questions

- → Who should be responsible for loss reduction?
- → How to get started?

Challenges for Asia-Pacific (4)

- 4. Many tools have been proposed to correct the post-harvest system, but there is likely <u>no</u> single solution.
 - Developing
 - Technologies: Inadequate storage, transportation, etc
 - Management: Loss-reducing practices is less common
 - Infrastructure: Lack of investment in farm and retail levels

Developed

- Strict hygiene and safety rules inhibit recovery of uneaten food
- Costly to reduce loss, to collect, store, and transport food to food banks.

Where should we start?

Waste Profile: APPLES



Source: Download from Anthesis website: http://anthesisgroup.com/tesco-farm-to-fork-apples/

Waste Profile: BAGGED SALAD

Waste as a % of

total production

of total production

of total production

RETAIL WASTE

CONSUMER WASTE

6U%

is wasted

of total production

of total production

PROCESSING LOSSES

17%

15%

of total production

27%

Anthesis

Strategies to Improve Postharvest Loss System

APEC Food Security

- Linking Postharvest technology with food security and safety
- Linking small farm/agribusiness with global supply chain
- Linking technology and management capacity building



Facilitating Factors

- Access to market information
- Access to affordable technologies adapted to local conditions
- R&D on resistant variety, cost-effective drying, storage, grading, packaging, ...
- Infrastructure investment on road, storage, water/electricity supply, ...
- Setting standards and creating incentives for collective marketing
- Mainstream postharvest loss reduction into agricultural policies
- Facilitate credit to small farmers and supply chain actors

Loss Assessment-1 Definition

- "Measurable quantitative losses along the food supply chain starting with its harvest until consumption by the end users."
 - Food loss
 - a decrease in edible food mass at the production, post-harvest, processing and distribution stages in the food supply chain.
 - Food waste
 - food appropriate for consumption being discarded, usually at the retail and consumer levels.

Redlingshöfer and Soyeux (2012)

- Need to take into account the initial purpose of food including their by-products and their final utilization.
- What humans do not directly eat or consumed (e.g., citrus fruit zest, apple or potato peels, bones, egg shell, etc.) cannot be counted as food loss or wasted.

Loss Assessment-2 Methodology

Commodity System Analysis (CSA)

- widely use on postharvest handling and marketing of a given commodity.
- CSA is made up of 27 components that together account for all the steps associated with the production

Mass Flow Model (MFM)

- developed by the Swedish Institute for Food and Biotechnology (SIK)
- Using available food balance sheet data
- Aimed to human consumption
- Quantify physical mass throughout the supply chain using own assumptions

Problem of CSA

- Losses for developing country records do not exist
- Do not cover a long enough period of time
- Assessment are only estimated by several observers
- Records may not truly represent a continuing situation, for example :
 - I. losses may have been calculated only when unusually high or low
 - 2. loss figures may be deliberately over- or understated in order to gain benefits or to avoid embarrassment

Mass Flow Model (MFM)

_ _ _ .

Food Loss and Waste along the Value Chain								
Production	Handling & Storage	Processing & Packaging	Distribution & Market	Consumption				
Definition								
During or immediately after harvesting on the farm	After produce leaves the farm for handling, storage, and transport	During industrial or domestic processing and/or packaging	During distribution to markets, including losses at wholesale and retail markets	Losses in the home or business of the consumer, including restaurants/caterers				
Includes								
Fruits bruised during picking or threshing	Edible food eaten by pests	Milk spilled during pasteurization and processing	Edible produce sorted out-due to quality	Edible products sorted out due to quality				
Crops sorted out postharvest for not meeting quality standards	Edible produce degraded by fungus or disease	Edible fruit or grains sorted out as not suitable for processing	Edible products expired before being purchased	Food purchased but not eaten				
Crops left behind in fields due to poor mechanical harvesting or sharp drops in prices	Livestock death during transport to slaughter or not accepted for laughter	Livestock trimming during slaughtering and industrial processing	Edible products spilled or damaged in market	Food cooked but not eaten				
Fish discarded during fishing operations	Fish that are spilled or degraded after landing	Fish spilled or damaged during canning/smoking						

Source: Linpinski et al .(2013) Creating a Sustainable Food Future, Installment Two: Reducing Food Loss and Waste

Estimation Procedure

Although FAO Food Balance Sheets are not perfect in statistical terms, they do provide a consistent and clear picture of overall food situation of individual economy.



Estimation Procedure

- Accounting technique and internal consistency checks are used within the framework of the Supply/Utilization Accounts.
- Total loss volume has edible and non-edible part and only edible part is counted as food loss.



Assessment Results

Distribution of losses between upstream and downstream

	Total Loss Volume (million ton)	Upstream (%)	Downstream (%)					
Vegetable & Fruit	360	55%	45%					
Wheat & Rice	75	56%	44%					
Food Loss Volumes by Stage of FSC (in 1000 tons)								
120,000 Upstrea	m	ım						
100,000 98,411								
80,000								
60,000	46,088 46,923							
40,000		33,113	33,512					
20,000 8,73 ^{82,087}	16,088 10,142),664 1	2 14,669 1,47 2 ,021 2,13 <i>6</i> ,82	19,402 12,37 <u>16,271</u>					
Production	Handling & Storage Processing	& Packing Distribution	Consumption					
■ Vegetable ■ Fruit ■ Wheat ■ Rice								

Estimation Results on Vegetables and Fruits -% Loss by Stage, by Economy, 2011





15

Estimation Results on Vegetables and Fruits -Loss Per Capita in Kg by Stage, by Economy, 2011





16

Estimation Results on Vegetables and Fruits - Cross Comparison

	Develo	ping	Developed			
	Vegetables	Fruits	Vegetables	Fruits		
MYP project	25-40%	30-40%	10-30%	15-40%		
based on FAO						
World vegetable	20-30%	34-55%	-	-		
Center						
EU and US	-	-	6-10%	10-15%		
(Buzby et al)			(Retail excl.)	(Retail excl.)		
Madrid	13-20%	13-20%	5-10%	6-13%		
Kumar et al.	25-30%	30-35%	-	-		
Tesco	27-60%	19-33%				

- Highest loss occurs at production stage, followed by consumption stage
- Economy-wide and stage-wide comparison allow us to identify <u>Hotspots</u> and <u>Priority</u> for improvement.

Case Study: Solutions

Two-step Survey

- Pilot
- Follow-up

Purpose

- to collect existing technologies and practices along the food supply chain
- 2. to create an open platform for information exchange
- to identify the most efficient and cost-effective way to reduce losses in the region



NO.	3		Title		Grapes management					
Producti on Types	■Fru	Fruits Vegetables Rice Wheat Others:								
Problems	Reducing the losses and waste on grapes									
Stage	Harvesting Handling & Storage Processing & Packaging Distribution & Marke Consumption									
Solution Types	Management Machine Chemical methods Biological methods Equipment Breeding methods Technology Staff Training Others:									
Specific content	 Yruning and controlling the number of branches to maintain strong growth potentia Bagging early to reduce the chance of corruption Cleaning the vineyard regularly. 									
Photos	Pueies the heaper									
	Company							<u> </u>		
Sources				Website						
	■ Gove			Govern	ernment		Taichung District Agricultural Research and Extension Station, Council of Agricultural, Executive Yuan, Chinese Taipei			
	E	1		Othe	ers					
Before Lo		SS		%	Loss by	Kg/yr	Loss		USD/	
Afte	After Ra		tio		%	Weight	Kg/yr	Value	5	USD/
Speci	fic									
Outco	me									

Pilot Partners

- The Philippines :
 - Calamansi: NGO, AsiaDHRRA
 - □ Banana: NGO, AsiaDHRRA
- Chinese Taipei
 - Carrot/Sweet Potato: FuYei International, Inc
 - Grape: Taichung District Agricultural Research and Extension Station, Council of Agricultural, Executive Yuan

Calamansi: Major problems

- The targets are farmers in Mindoro Oriental.
 - Due to concentrated production following natural pattern, seasonality in production persists.
 - At the production level around 15-20 percent is lost due to low farm-gate prices.



Background:

- Mindoro Oriental produce around 59 percent of the total annual production in the entire country.
- But Mindoro Oriental farmers rely on the natural fruiting season of calamansi during the period July to November each year.

Calamansi: Pre-Harvest Preparation

Before:Trees without pruning• interventions (taller trees)

The targets are farmers in Mindoro Oriental.

- Due to concentrated production following natural pattern, seasonality in production persists.
- At the production level around 15-20 percent is lost due to low farm-gate prices.

After: with annual pruning interventions (shorter trees)





- To achieve all-year round production, physical interventions to induce flowering and fruiting.
- The added benefit is that, the cost of picking the fruits from taller trees is far more expensive that

shorter trees.

Calamansi: Postharvest Handling/Transportation

Before:

At the packaging, transport level, 10 to 15 percent is lost due to transport damages

After:

AsiaDHRRA introduced wooden crates to improve product shipment/storage



Cardaba/Saba Banana: Major Problems

- Most of cardaba/saba bananas for local consumption do not meet the specifications of exporters and processors.
- Production practices lead to significant losses due to
 - Quality and size.
 - →Around 18 percent is lost.
 - Increase bananas' vulnerability to diseases (bugtok, bunchy top and sigatoka) resulting to huge fruit losses.
 - → Around 25-30 percent is lost.

Pre-Harvest Improvement

Before:



- Regular fertilization (organic or inorganic)
- Removal of dry and old leaf sheaths
- Stripping of infected, removal of non-functional leaves
- Weeding and cleaning of pseudo stem and mat
- Maintaining 10 to 12 leaves before and during flowering
- Deflowering (removal of the blossom immediately at the emergence of last set of fingers)
- Bagging the fruits (to protect fruits from insects and pests)
- Maintaining a low number of suckers per mat (3 suckers per mat or hill)

Carrots/Sweet Potatoes

Before

infected sweet potatoes



After Temperature-controlled environments



- Set standards on water content and weather condition before harvesting to extend the storage time.
- Screening out infected/injured to avoid deterioration during the storage and cross contamination.
- Storage conditions such as temperature and humidity to reduce the differences in environmental conditions



26





- Pruning and controlling the number of branches to maintain strong growth potential.
- Bagging early to reduce the chance of physiological deterioration
- Cleaning the vineyard regularly.

Public-Private Partnership

Role:

- PPP allocates tasks, obligations, and risks among the public and private partners in an optimal way.
- Driving Force (Rolando Dy, Juejan Tangtermthong)
 - Private Side:
 - Reduce risk on investment
 - □ Land ownership
 - □ Transaction cost due to complexity of supply chain, labor, inputs, technology,
 - □ Regulations
 - Enter a new market
 - which is unknown to its management
 - $\hfill\square$ without starting from scratch
 - $\hfill\square$ without delay on authorization process

Government side:

- Lack of public funding
- Increasing acceptance that private sector can handle many traditional task of the public sector
- Private sector has better ability to increase efficiency and quality of
- 27 services

PPP on Management and Capacity Building – Mango Export in Chinese Taipei

'Irwin' Mango Orchard at Tainan, Chinese Taipei



Hand harvest into baskets, nets, or

Latex removal required ?

Remove Latex

Transfer to field lug

boxes(shaded)

packinghouse by truck

Stage in trucks at packinghouse

Stage under cover in packinghouse

Dump into

clorinated water

buckets

Mexico

MAP of MANGO

Harvesting

Handling &

Storage

Traceability System– EAN Bar-Code



28

PPP on Processing/Distribution Center Agricultural Processing Center in Rep of Kora

- Korea National Agricultural Cooperative Federation (Semook Park and Jongho Hong, 2013)
 - Located in fruit and vegetable production areas
 - Precooled, selected packaged, processed and stored products
 - PPP arrangement:
 - Central Government: Set up policy and principles of subsidy for APC
 - Local Government: Set up plan for assisting APC within its region
 - □ Private (NACF) sector:
 - □ Assist funding for infrastructure and marketing
 - Provide consulting service for APC
 - Provide education and cooperation among farmers and APC

PPP on Safety Standard & Quality Certification

- Recent highly publicized food safety incidents
- Food safety and quality standards applied to fresh fruit and vegetables include
 - Phytosanitary certificate
 - Limits on pesticide residues
 - HACCP
 - Traceability
 - Microbial contamination
 - Good agricultural practice
 - Quality grades

PPP: Planning and Compliance

- APEC Food Safety Cooperation Forum Partnership Training Institute Network
- Henson et al, "Assessing the Demand for Trade-Related Food safety and Quality Interventions in Agri-Food", World Bank website.
 - What the alternatives are for producing agro-foods of different qualities?
 - Whether a particular compliance service is required and is economically-viable?
 - What is the best way in which to establish and/or deliver this compliance service?
 - Where this compliance service might be best situated?
 - Whether the compliance service capacity is likely to be sustainable in the long term?
- 30 How all this information feeds into a strategy for securing the desired level of quality?



Way Forward: Pilot Porgram (1)

Causes:

→ How to improve food loss assessment?

- Qualitative losses vs Quantitative losses
- Impact on Environment
- Value of losses
- Hot product: Which product is the most responsible?
- Hot spot: When and where the loss occur?

Way Forward: Pilot Program (2)

- **Solutions:**
- How to establish a food loss reduction program?
- Develop 2nd stage Case Study
 - → 3 phases
 - Measure: Understand the current process and quantity of losses
 - Target and Pilot: Select one-root cause and develop new way to reduce
 - Scale-up and Sustain: Apply to other location or product
 - Participants:
 - Production: Supplier (farmers, cooperative,)
 - Consumption: Retailer
 - Develop Joint business plan
 - Information sharing
 - Loss prevention measures
 - Cost-benefit analysis
 - to make informed decisions
 - □ What are the benefit of new technology/practice on food safety/quality ?
 - What are the hidden cost behind the safety/quality standards?
 - KPI/metrics
 - for performance evaluation

Thank You & Comment Welcome

Ching-Cheng Chang, Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica Email: emily@econ.sinica.edu.tw